

REQUIREMENTS TO MANUSCRIPT REVIEW

The review shall contain expert analysis of the manuscript and special attention shall be given to the coverage of the following issues:

- relevance, scientific novelty and importance of the manuscript material;
- originality of the submitted materials with no borrowing and author's keeping record of published works;
- proper scientific style of presenting the material, compliance of the applied methods, techniques, recommendations and results with the modern achievements of science and practice;
- evaluation of the manuscript compliance with visual perception entirety, i.e. structure of the article, style and language accuracy, definitions; size of the article taken as a whole and its specific elements (text, tables, illustrative material, bibliographic references); the utility of tables and illustrations within the articles and their compliance with the subject of the article;
- reliability of presented facts, reasonableness of hypotheses, conclusions and generalizations;
- discrepancies and mistakes made by the author;
- recommendations regarding reasonable shrinkage or necessary inserts (specify where exactly);
- conclusion on feasibility of publication (publication in the journal or publication after follow-up revision, etc.).

In case of positive review, the reviewer shall provide well-reasoned recommendations on feasibility of the manuscript being accepted for publication.

In case of the manuscript returning for improvement, the conclusion of review shall include:

- detailed analysis of identified shortcomings, inaccuracies, wrong definitions, infelicities of style, the material to be excluded, completed, revised;
- specific proposals for eliminating the discovered shortcomings.

In case of negative review, the reviewer shall provide the explicit and well-reasoned conclusions in the final part of his review.

Signing the review the reviewer shall specify his place of employment, academic degree, academic status, job position.